



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/422,347	10/21/1999	DIRK OOMS	Q056325	5427	
7590 07/22/2004		EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC			LEVITAN, DMITRY		
	LVANIA AVE NW N. DC 200373202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		2662	22	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/422,347	OOMS ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dmitry Levitan	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the er: (1) a timely filed amendm ppeal (with appeal fee); or (is application. A proper replet the spoke is application.	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The base been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 037 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding ame tened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate ext ally set in the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appea	by materially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following in	rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ reque application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendate explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 1-16.						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		_				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		HASSAN KIZOU)				
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 26				

1. Applicant's arguments filed 06/08/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner believes that Boivie teaches compressing final destination addresses, because nodes R1 or R2 are not destinations of the system but essential parts of the destination addresses of B, C or D nodes.